G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. (1923-24 Alig) **File No.** 31-23

Serial Nos. 1-17

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1 — 17

Part B for February 1925

SUBJECT

Agreement to be executed by the Nawab of Palanpur in favour of Raja Nawab Mall of Deesa

To Under Sec No 165/Marg 31-23-17
dt 8-2-25: stating that an agreement in the terms of the draft submitted by the Govt Advocate might be executed. To advise when reported to Govt

Rec — 12/2/17

G. R. S. III—1] 1912 23 - 24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Misc)

NOTES.

File No. 31 - 23

FILE HEADING.

The Sri Vyasaraja Mutt affairs

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

D 87

G. R. S. III—83]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 87

Mur: 31-23-1

Copy from the Inspg. Commissioner in Mysore promising to send up in due course, the agreement by the Managing of Sri Vyasaraya Swami Math agreeing to assume the management of the Math properties with all claims and liabilities &c. The connected files are kept up. On receipt of the promised further report they may be awaited.

Submitted.

This is now done. 18.7.23. [illegible]

Draft [illegible] 19/7/23

2nd Member [illegible] 18/7/23

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R S. III—3].

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

Dy Ch. Secretary.

AV
23.7.23. RL

Issue
dcg 24/7/23

Sir. 2. To the Mun: Comr
No 159 of 28.7.23
Requests that a reply may be
sent again by the end of Augt 23.
in the matter.

Sir 3 — From the Mun Comr
No. C-511 of 22-23 of 11.9.23
Forwards a copy of a Srimukha
addressed to the Municipality re
the agreement to be executed by him
and promises to submit a further
reply in due course —

The Srimukha is a
strongly worded one; but the
Municipality has driven the
Mun Comr's office bit by bit
delaying compliance with
the orders of Govt —
further
it is said to
a new Vice President have been
not yet been appointed
Mr. M requesting him)

Law
to be officially
informed so.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1650—GPB—1,50,000—25-21

G. R. S. (III—3)

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

14

G R. S. [F—3]

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

5

Inam. Re Inz Comrs
Letter C. no. 511 dy 20-10-23.

Solicits sanction y sub.
to the appointment of
Mr. M Srinivasa Murthi.
as Sarvadhikari y
Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mutt.
and promises to send up
an early sale. The Swamis'
request.

The Inz. Comrs. letter
explains the facts y
the case.

Submit for orders

[illegible] The agreement
dated 20-10-23 ordered by Govt. to be executed
was promised by Mr. Srinivasa

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Fr D 1850—GPB—1,50,000—25-21

Murthi to be sent up to the
Inz Comr on or before the
15th of Oct. 1923. This date

Serial No

has passed, and no such agreement has yet been seed. The Mur (Comr. Vruja Bombii that Mr. M. Srinivasa Murti, (Dy Huzur Treasury officer) has been appointed by the Govt as the Sarvadhikari of the Matt, and that even the advisory Committee should at the request of the Swamigalu himself (Vide Mur 603 of 21) was never formed, the late Mr. T. Lakshmi-Narasimhadas having managed the Matt affairs himself under the orders of the Swamigalu.

10 It will be found from Mur 603-21 that permission was accorded by Govt to messrs T. Lakshmi Narasimha Rau, M. Srinivasa Murti & D. Srinivasachar to serve on the advisory Committee, while Mr. S. Venkatachelam Chetty & Comr. Hasan Sb. was not so permitted to be a member of the same.

Mur file 204-21 shows that Mr. M. T. Narayana Iyengar, Professor of Mathematics in the Central College was not permitted by Govt to be the Agent of the Sri Ahobilam Matt.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1.8.22

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 31 of 1923

Serial No.

the case; it is hardly desirable to allow Mr. Srinivasa Murti who is still in Govt. service to be the Sarvadhikari of the Vyasarajasatt properties under a power of attorney executed by the Swami with full powers of control over the finances of the matt and thus actively participate in the management of the matt's estates both inside & outside the Mysore State. Even if the Swami did not know that Govt. sanction was req. for his appointing a Govt. servant as Sarvadhikari, Mr. Srinivasa Murti who simply encloses "a Copy of the Nirupa "granted by His Holiness the Swamijalavaru "of the Vyasaraja Swami matt, Appointing "me as the Sarvadhikari of the matt "Estates, for your kind information" ought as an old servant of Govt to have known that he should not have accepted this Honorary post service under the Swamijalu without the sanction of Govt. particularly as the vast majority of the Estates are situated outside the State.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—8750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 31 of 1923

Serial No.

1 Some decided action on the part of Govt. seems called for now. If approved, the Muzr. Comr. may be requested to inform the Sringeri Mutt —

(1) Mr. Srinivasa Mukti will not be permitted to be the Parva Dhikari of the Mutt.

Yes

(2) Unless an agreement binding himself to assume the management of the Mutt — its properties with all claims & liabilities appertaining thereto as they stood at the time of transfer (vide para 7 of the Muzr. Suptt's note dated the 4th April 1922 Muzrai file 580-21-7) is received duly executed by the Swamiji before the 2nd of December 1923, the endowments of the Mutt (both cash and lands) in the State will be attached.

I do not know how we can do this. I think that even if the Swamiji does not execute such a deed, he will be deemed by the actions of his agents (see Letter under the ordinary law relating to trusts and Mgrs. The Govt. Letter Lr to myself Considered

And a further report on the whole Collection in the first week of Jan. 1924 with definite recommendations for the ensuing F

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 & 22

26.10.23

29.10.23

Secy. to Govt. (Revenue Department)

31/10/23

21

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

14 So long as the Government is in the Trustee for. he cannot be permitted to accept the office of Sarvadhikari - of chief managership of the Temple & as Trustee the Temple committee may be entitled to appoint the Sarvadhikari accordingly.

~~12 [illegible]~~

15. With regard to the Executive of the recent agreement of the Government ~~[illegible]~~ the management of the Temple property, the Temple committee may be entitled to enter of the agreement should on behalf of the Government & the date of 15th Oct. they should be recognised from time. If not, the Temple committee may enforce the Government. Part of the agreement is not even of the Government. but for the Temple to consider the question of passing ~~[illegible]~~ Ordinance over and ~~[illegible]~~ the management. also the Government ~~[illegible]~~ + Endow... in the Temple matters the Temple

16. A copy of the ~~[illegible]~~ Committee & the Temple Committee may be sent to the Govt. Temple (after the completion) for the Govt to ~~[illegible]~~ & ~~[illegible]~~ the Government for ~~[illegible]~~ to the Sarvadhikari of the Temple

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8 22

AV 2/11

2/11/22 2/11/22 2/11/22

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192

Serial No.

1. Rept letter to Hon Chief Justice.

BA
6-11-23

Done
N
6/11

6 To the Muzrai Commr. No. 605 dt 10.11.23 states that as long as Hon. Yaminisamy Mudaliar is in Govt service he cannot be permitted to accept the appt of Yamadhikari & requests that the Yamigalu may be informed accdly & that the latter may also be informed that if the agreement re: acceptance of management is not sent up by the end of March'24 Govt will have to consider the question of taking over under their management all the Inam lands & endts of the Math within the Taluk

To Hon. Yaminisamy Mudaliar Hon. Member Nos. 606 dt 10.11.23. Fdg copy of the above & calls for his explr for having the Yamadhikarship of the Govt Inam

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192

Serial No

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 S 22

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 31 of 1922-23

Serial No

Version agree in all respects except in para 6 where no mention is made in the English copy of the audit of Matt accounts which finds a mention in the corresponding para of the Kannada Version. It seems quite reasonable that the Swamii should be expected to accept liabilities or claims after a thorough audit of the Matts accounts and there is nothing repugnant in the Swamii accepting the terms of this agreement subject to the audit of accounts. The Swamii is said to be willing to execute the agreement in Kannada. The form of the Kannada Version now submitted may be adopted in full.

2. The Govt Advocate may probably be consulted as to whether this may be done

8/12/22

7/12/22

(Rev Secy)

II Member

8.12.22

10/12/22

22-

10.12.23.

I waited upon the members. The 2nd member would like to go through the Kannada agreement with the English & then asked me to submit the necessary Letter — 5/1/24

21 The Govt may file — in the — case

WD 0561-GPB-3750—1 8 32

G R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 31 of 1923.

Serial No.

8. From, the Comptroller,
Letter no. 734 D 20-12-192
Forward the explanation
of Mr. M. Srinivasa Murti,
State Huzur Treasury officer (on
leave) called for vide ... dated
and states that the work
(Sarvadhikari's duties) is to be
done out of office hours,
and without prejudice to the
legitimate duties of the office
M. Mr. Srinivasa Murti begs
that formal omission on his
part to ask for sanction,
may be kindly over
and that permission may
be accorded to him to
undertake the duties which
the Swami as his religious
Guru has laid on him
Submits. For Mr. C. J. A.
A.D. 5.1.24. Whether under the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD. 9561—GPB—3750—1-8 25

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 31 of 1923.

Serial No

Circumstances represented by Mr M. Srinivasa Murti, he may be allowed to be Sawadhikari of the Matt.

RB 57/24

7.1.24

303 10-1-24.

Rec'd sec.

23 Member

10.1.24.

REVENUE 11 JAN 1924 SECRETARY.

24. I agree. Please put up a draft order accordingly for approval

11/1 11/1

11.1.24

25. Draft order submitted for approval.

RB 16.1.24

18.1.24.

467 18.1.24

(2nd member).

26 Draft approved

27 Please submit to Dewan for approval before issue

RB 25/1

26/1

REVENUE 26 JAN 1924 SECRETARY.

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Rcvd. on JAN 26 1924 Regd. No. 5211 Contn. sheet

28. Dewan

30.1

REVENUE 30 JAN 1924 SECRETARY.

WD 0561—GDB—3750—1 8 22

Issue

30.1.24

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 31 of 192

Serial No.

9 To the Muz. Commr & Comptroller. No. 1036-7 d. 31.1.24. Permits Mrs. Thirumurthy to accept the place of Gowrathiliasi & the above Month in the circumstances explained by him.

The Muz: Commr invites refce to his letter of 3rd Decr 23 (Sl. No. 7) in the matter of agreement to be executed by the Trustee of the Sri Vyasaraya Swamy Math. At the bottom of page 11 of the office-note, the Supdt Mr. B. Ramiah has noted that the file should be subd to the Member personally.

SD 12/2/24

I think so

12/2/24

The Subd personally by Hon Member 21/2/24

It may be replied to the Muz. Commr as personally directed by the Second Member yesterday is subd for appl or orders.

In appl

22-2-24

22.2.24.

Rev. Secy.

22/2

22/2/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0961—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Gr. 23.2.24.

23/2

Do Answer to the letter I have written & sent a copy to the Supdt

24/2

Do

Supdt

(15.)

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 31 of 1923.

Serial No.	
10	To the Muzrai Commr. No. 11296-262-24. Requests him to instruct the Yamnadhikari of the Mutt to have an agreement duly executed by the Swamigalu in terms of the draft sent up by the govt Advocate either English or Kannada as desired by the Swamigalu and to submit a further report in the 1st week of April 1924.
11	From the Mur Commr No. C-119 of 23-24 dt 19.4.24. States that the ~~order~~ orders were transmitted to the Yamnadhikari and that no reply has been received from him in the matter he has addressed an urgent Commr. &c.
12	From the Mur Commr No. C-119 of 23-24 dt 17.6.24 Brings to notice that the mutt has been unduly delaying the execution of the agreement & that the Madras Govt have not yet intimated the change in Management &c.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0361—GPB—3750—1-8-22

34 The Murrai Commr Bangalore dated [illegible] days that an

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 31 of 192

Serial No.

decided matter is
in this matter.
~~The Secretary~~
The Govt. & the Residency.
Thus the Residency is pressing
the execution of the agreement by
the Swamijee (vide the Mysore
Resident's Note of 4-8-22 - Para 3)
which is being unduly delayed
by the matt, and the Mysr Govt
suggests that the L & L Secrett
or the Govt. Advocate may be
consulted as to the lines on which
further action may be taken.
2. May the file be resp. to the
L & L Secrett for favour of
opinion?

28/6/24 In order.
24.6.24.

Rev. Secretary
II Member
459/25-6

24/6
25.6.24.

3. If so a Srimvasa amma of
no Bangalore to may an action of
damages for Rs. 40,000 on account
of carrying away 40 or 50 on Sandal wood
& camphor

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Secretary. Muzrai.
15/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat	Muz. File No.	of 192

Serial No.

Reqg D.O of Mr Sastri [illegible]
Subd.
Rd
15/7/24

D.O.R. 71 dt 15-7-24. To Mr M Srinivasa Murti asking him to wait upon the Second Member at 4 p.m. on Saturday the 19th inst.

The file may be subd to the 2d Member for perusal when Mr Sastri sees the Member.

"Chandrika"
Basavanguqi
17th July 1924.

16.7.24
Pl.
17.7.24

Jr perusal
Pl
18.7.24

My dear sir,

With reference to your letter desiring me to wait on the Second Member of Council on Saturday afternoon, I beg to inform you for the information of the Member that I have to be in Mysore on an urgent business on Saturday and my work there may take four or five days. On my return I shall wait on the Member at his convenience.

I beg to state that previous to the receipt of your D.O. I have sent a messenger to Sosale with request to the Swamigalu to expedite the matter and not to allow any more delay.

This file may with if agd be subd to Second Member

for orders

Pl
21.7.24

Submitted please

21.7.24.

yours sincerely,
M. Srinivasa Murti

To, K.V. Anantaraman Esqre Ba

[illegible] 22 Orders as to when Mr Sreenivasa Murthy may be asked to wait upon the Member

21/7/24

2nd Member

SPEB—3750—1-8

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 5/ of 192

Serial No	
	Dept. D.O. Ltr. Dt. 26.7.24 Issue 26.7.24
	Issued Docket No. 140 dt. 26.7.1924
28/7/24 729/287	Subject: Sri M. Srinivasa Moorthi did not turn up on any day during the last week of July 1924, as requested.
	13/8 (1) Sri T. Narasimham saw me today and has to obtain an agreement from the Swamiyar recently. That he will produce it before the end of this month. The other amount in arrears upon — of Rs. 15/- in next month for the matter — Sri Rao is to work as promised by him. 18/8
	Dept. Sub. 18/8/24 Issue 18 Aug.
13	To the Muzrai Commr's. No. 28 dt. 11.8.24 That Sri. Sri. Srinivasa Murthi did not meet with the 2nd Trustee of Tiruvallur That an agreement in the matter has been obtained from the Swamiyar recently and submitted to the Muzrai before

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 91 of 192

Serial No.	
	The final settlement of the ... Muzrai ... Depot ...
	Submitted with a DO reminder as the report has not yet been received
14	From, The Muzrai Commr. Letter No. C.117 ... Submits for ordn. ... letter from the Tahsildar of Vyasaraya Samudram ... matter of the operation ... agreement by the ... Requests that the ... may be sanctioned for ... DO. Ceiling for the Srimushnam ... The Tahsildar reports ... para 2 of the ... adventure, to ...

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

15. To, The Inwg Clerk.
No. 604 Dy 20.10.1924
Calls for the recs
Dy 27.7.1924.

16. From, The Inwd Clerk
Letter no 6 dy 28.7.
Submits the recs
for ai Sl. 15.

A. The Swami states in his Swijnukha that as per list he has assumed the management of all the math properties with rights and responsibilities as appertaining thereto, and considers all acts done by Smt. as if done by himself and indemnifies Smt. [illegible] liabilities affecting the math.

B. The Sarvadhikari prays to show that what is required from the Swamigalu is a stamped receipt with a list giving details of the value of and income therefrom, and the Inwd Clerk points out that the statements have not been signed by the Swami and one anna stamps affixed to

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No. of 19 General and Revenue Secretariat.

that the terms have not been written on Stamp paper and registered, and that 2 important points referred to in the ~~memo~~ his letter, have not been mentioned. Serial No

The Sarvadhikari states that a Statement of accounts showing the Receipts and expenditure of the matt during the period of Court management, may be furnished to the Examiner, and the Muz. Comr. points out that Court have ordered that the previous audit of matt accounts should not be made a condition precedent to the execution of the Agreement. 56 The Sarvadhikari wants a compilation of all orders relative to the matt; but the Muz. Comr. wants him to depute an official for the purpose and it has not been done. ~~Whereas~~ At the time of Submitting for orders The restoration of the endowments of Sri Vidyaranya matt

AV 11-11-29.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

to the Swamiyaler; a Registered document signed by the Swamiyaler was obtained.

11.11.24

The Sarvadhikari of the Mutt has raised three points.

That a Stamped agreement is not necessary & a receipt would suffice.

ii That a Statement of accounts of the management by Govt. should be furnished to the Mutt, and that the Sarvadhikari should be permitted to check them.

iii That a Compilation of Govt. orders on the Economic management of the Mutt Properties passed during the period of Govt. management should be furnished to him ~~to Comply~~ so as to Enable him to Comply with the Condition of the Varadhan viz; that no permanent Arrangement for the Carrying on of the Mutt affairs as laid down in the Several orders of Govt. should be altered without obtaining the previous Sanction of Govt.

5 | As regards the Agreement, two Conditions were clearly laid down in the Order dated 11.11.17 (JC, R) (1) That the Swamiyaler

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

File No. of 19

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

Shall ~~Execute~~ ~~not to be~~ Enter into an agreement ~~that~~ be for restoration, that he will so take possession of the Matha Estate with all claims and liabilities appertaining thereto as they stand at the time of transfer and will have no claims on Govt thereafter and that he will not alter any permanent arrangements for the Carrying on of the Matha affairs as laid down in the orders of Govt in that of transfer the previous Sanction of Govt. ~~The~~ (ii) A Complete list giving details of values and incomes of properties handed over shall be prepared & shall be signed by the Swamijalas or an agent of the Swamijalas & the Mukhya Supdt. These two are very important Conditions for the safety of Govt against any legal action that the Matha may Contemplate at any time. The Swamijalas have agreed (vide Mr Ramanathan's note 21 11) the Estate were restored to the Matt in April 1922 on Condition of Executing the agreement referred to and of submitting the list of properties called for. Since then the Matt have on promises to Execute the agreement drafted by the Govt Advocate (24 £)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

on Stamped paper. Finally the Sarvadhikari who saw the Second Member on 18 & 24 said that he had obtained the agreement from the Sarvamanya & that he would settle the matter before the end of that month (vide para 4 supra). The present recession Comes as a surprise. It ~~seems~~ appears from this that the Matt is not willing to abide by the Conditions laid down by Govt., though they were quick to smile the presents were handed over, & their says now that a receipt of the would do & their action in according (2nd point) & permission to Cheer them shows the clearly that the Matt wants to question the action of Govt during the period of their Management. The Matt has always been resenting any little Submission to Govt., and it was as a mark of Concession, in spite of their defiant attitude, that Govt ordered the restoration of the Estates It is not likely that that the Matt will Execute the agreement unless strong action is taken by Govt. It seems, therefore, desirable to give them a notice that unless they execute the agreement & abide by the Conditions laid down by Govt, within a period say a month The Estates will be resumed again by Govt. They are foreign Govt to take a strong action. As regards the list, it has been submitted now & is Signed by the Agent. The

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No.

Mur Cant says it ought to have been signed by the Swamiji & stamped with one anna stamp. This does not seem to be necessary since the order of 1915 allows the list being signed by an Agent. The Mur Cant may perhaps have to check it, & if found correct, sign it & send it on to Govt.

58. As regards accounts, the Matt has already been told that the giving of accounts shall not be made a condition precedent to the agreement as Govt have given one of their Officials as agent of the Matt, who would help them in the preparation of the accounts. It will be seen from para 5 of Mr Ramachandra Rao's note (Ex Q) that great benefits have accrued to the Matt by the management of Govt. Now that the Matt stands against an order upon the furnishing of accounts & such action as to the permission to the Sandrashikari to audit them betrays a distrust of Govt. It is certain by resentful. They may be told that unless & until the agreement is cancelled, no such demands of the Matt will be complied with.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

As regards the Copies of Govt orders asked for, the Revenue may be asked to furnish them, as they are very few (vide marginal para 10 of the G.O of 1915-PLR). No Matt Official need be deputed for the purpose, this as suggested by the Revenue Comr.

13.11.24.

Rev. Secy

I entirely agree with the Asst Secy the light-house way in which the Asstt and his subordinates have treated the whole business is very reprehensible. It appears to be necessary that orders should be taken on the point in dispute by the Asst Secy, if the matter is to be closed soon

443 18.11

18.11.24.

I do not find anything very unreasonable or objectionable in the representations & suggestions contained in the Sarvadhikari's letter of 13/11/24 to the Revenue Comr. Instead of a formal agreement as stated therein, the Sarvadhikari has sent a letter (which is practically in the same effect) in which he acknowledges having received possession of and to dispose the cargo of the Steamer as

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No.

of 19

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

NOTE—Contn Sheet

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 1"

Serial No.

This list was not been received from the [illegible] Govt.

the State as per list attached to also state that he is prepared to arrange for receiving the each dues of just officers of the period the properties were under the management as each dues of tenancy or for future, free from all claims in respect thereof and also the portion underlined above is not quite clear free from ambiguity. It is required some slight verbal modification. If this is made, I think that he may be accepted in place of a formal agreement, the Sarvadhikari being asked to have it properly stamped, if necessary. ~~If the terms be not accepted~~ accordingly, he will have to [illegible] the State for [illegible] On the terms of this letter he be favoured with his opinion."

I write again to the Sarvadhikari's request to be furnished with a statement of Receipts & Expenditure for the period for which the Trust properties were under Govt. management, he may be informed that Govt will be glad to give a statement showing his Trust receipts & disbursements from Every Year of the period; but basis of the same & full particulars, he should make his own arrangements to collect them from the Revenue Officers. Copies that would be made available to him for the purpose. This for Govt and relate to proposal for [illegible] his non-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

I. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 31 of 1923.

Serial No

In the letter the Swamigalu has merely undertaken to arrange [illegible] the acts mentioned therein [illegible] the said letter must be amended so as to express what he has done more acts.

More acts than (1) Assuming the management of the immoveable properties & settle the claims and liabilities (2) Releasing the gain from all claims not (1) may not amount to Consideration as the gain was in favour on behalf of the Swamigalu It would amount to [illegible] Cession possession of the immoveable. This does not require any stamp NO (2) amounts to a Release this requires to be stamped as [illegible] a stamp of the value of Rs 2/8 under art 42 Schedule 1 A of Stamps Regn —

[illegible]
Secy.

It is necessary to get a release deed executed by Swamy [illegible] covering as what is contained in his [illegible] letter is not enough.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[illegible] 522 23.1.25.

[illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat. 11 File No 31 of 19

Serial No.

Dept reply to the Mem
4th and 2nd abstained
Ed
30/1/25 Ed 30.1.25.

811 / 31.1.25 Rec - Secy
— 1/

811 31.1.25

Dept stating would prefer

Z
2 2
Rec 1/

one
Ed 2.2.25.

17 To the Municipal Commr. No. 1185 dt. 5.2.25.
Requesting that as regards leave already granted by the Municipality on a daily wage basis preferably in the form given in the Govt Memorandum dated to grant it as possible, & that early information regarding action taken in the matter for the grant of leave.

Serial No.

Office of the [illegible]

Dept, Panchayats and Tr[illegible]

From

K. Chandra Sekhara, B.A.,

Extra Commissioner and Secy,

1445 Panchayats.

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT
16 JUL 1923
MUZRml

D 87

To

T.N. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.

Secretary to the Government of His Exalted

the Maharaja of Cochin, Revenue Department

Panchayats

Sir,

With reference to your memo. No.1870/ dated [illegible]
1922 requesting that agreeable to para 7 of the order [illegible]
ment Order No.5033-43/ F.580 91-2 dated 20th [illegible]
ment from the Swamikalu Mar Ivanios and clarifying [illegible]
office, I have the honor to submit herewith the [illegible]
copy of letter No.733 dated 7th July 1923 from [illegible]
President of the Advisory Committee in [illegible]
attended to immediately after the appointment of [illegible]
place of the late Mr. T. Lakshmanaraja Iyer.

2. The literal translation of the [illegible] of the Govern-
ment prepared by the Government Pleader [illegible]
of the Advisory Committee who in replying [illegible]
by the Mathe. This latter [illegible] objected to the same in his letter No.[illegible] Vice President still desired to [illegible] of the Mathe, the papers were sent [illegible] opined in his Memo No.[illegible] circumstances, this [illegible] of all the facts, the Vice President [illegible] C.511 of 22-23 dated 7th Dece[illegible]

as finally revised by the Government Advocate cannot be accepted, the matter will have to be submitted for the further orders of Government. The Vice President stated in his letter No.315 dated 7th February 1923 that the Government Advocate's views were again sought for as to the sufficiency of the Kannada draft already sent. Since then there has been no progress in the matter. Copies of the communications referred to above are enclosed for reference

3. The execution of the agreement is a matter of great urgency and it is regretted that the Matha authorities are delaying. A. communication will be sent to the Swamigalu inviting his attention to it and requesting prompt compliance.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

D.N.

Letter No.753 dated 7th July 1923 from the Vice-President, Advisory Committee, Sri Vyasarayaswami Mandir, Tulsi, Pudukote, to the Mysore Mural Commissioner:--

---

"In reply to your letter No.C.611/22-23 dated 6th April 19[illegible] and subsequent reminders connected with the [illegible] tion of an agreement to be executed by His Highness the Raja [illegible] I have the honor to inform you that one Mr. [illegible] immediately after a successor to the late Mr. [illegible] is appointed and to request that some more time may be given [illegible] replying to the letter under note"

Copy.

for Mural Commissioner. 14/7/23.

D.N.

Letter No.62 dated 27th July 1922, from the [illegible]
to the Mysore Superintendent in Mysore:-

"With reference to correspondence ending with your letter [illegible] dated the 26th July 1922, I have the honor to state that the draft agreement sent by the Standard, while perhaps [illegible] the rendering of my draft, is not in reality a [illegible]

In the draft of the Standard, it is not [illegible] kept under the orders of the Government will [illegible] audit. I see no objection to this modification. But [illegible] liabilities will have to be accepted, as they are [illegible] parties, and this will have to be clearly [illegible]

The last two paragraphs have not been correctly [illegible] instead of making any modifications in the body of the Karnad draft I would suggest the correct translations [illegible] the corresponding paras of the Karnad draft.

The drafts under reference are herewith returned."

Copy.

for Mutual Commissioner. 14/9/22

D.N.

Memo No. 41/22-23 dated 23rd October 1922:-

"As I understand that the Execution of the document is a mere or formal matter and as there does not appear to be any likelihood of any contention being raised by the Mutt in regard to the management of the properties by the Government and also as the more important terms are already embodied in the agreement, the Kannada draft proposal may be accepted.

Sd/- K. Shankaranarayana Rao,
Government Advocate.

Copy.

for Huzrai Commissioner. 14/7/23.

D.M.

[illegible] Commissioner in Kuwait, to the Political Agent, Bahrain, on [illegible] Memorandum, Par[illegible]:

"With reference to correspondence ending [illegible] July 1932, received from the Shaikh of Kuwait [illegible] agreement referred to in para 7 of Government of India [illegible] dated 9th April 1932, I have [illegible] the case [illegible] behalf mutually [illegible] the Government have [illegible] of (1) the date [illegible] appointing [illegible] and (2) the alterations in any of the [illegible] sanctioned by Government for the earlier [illegible] Kuwait with the previous sanction of Government [illegible] in the said draft cannot therefore be accepted. If the draft agreement as finally revised by Government [illegible] reference) cannot be accepted, the matter will have [illegible] the further orders of Government. It is to [illegible] further [illegible] early as [illegible] execute the agreement drafted by the Government [illegible] of the requisite value."

Copy.

[signature] a cao

for Munshi Commissioner. 14/7/32.

E.N.

Muz 4]

Letter No.315 dated 7th February 1925 [illegible]
[illegible] Advisory Committee, Sri Vyasaraja Swamigalu Pattu, [illegible],
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore: -

"With reference to your letter No.C.311, dated [illegible] 7th February 1925, I have the honor to state that as a result of personal discussion with the Muzrai Commissioner, it was agreed that the Government Advocate's views may be further sought, as to the [illegible] of the Kanarese draft already sent.

The Government Advocate has been [illegible] and on the receipt of a reply, the matter will be [illegible]"

Copy.

For Muzrai Commissioner

D.N.

14/2/25.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

... .

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Date of issue 28. 7. 23

Signed by Mr D.C. Subbanayakka

Section

File No. [illegible]

Serial No. 2

No. 159 &

To

The [illegible]

Sirs,

Adverting To (Con) [illegible]
ending with your Cons...
No. C. 511 Dy 16th [illegible]
promising to Send [illegible]
in due Course, [illegible]
ment by the [illegible]
of Sri Vyas[illegible]
matt ~~a few~~ about.
the Inam [illegible]
matt [illegible]

over.

with all claims and liabilities etc. I am directed to request ~~that~~ you ~~will~~ be so good as to ~~send us~~ ~~them~~ report quarterly the End of next month if the agreement has been executed.

I have etc.

dcs 24/7/23

(Sd) Res. Secretary.

BY 23/7/23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7 22

C 5 11 04 22-23

Enc 1

9916

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 8th September 1923.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Br. Muz
12 SEP. 1923
G. D. SECTION

GENERAL SECTION
12 SEP 1923
MUZRAI

9330

Muz 31-22-3

V
12/9/23

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.159/M.F.580-21 dated 28th July 1923 regarding the execution of an agreement by the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha in conformity with para 7 of Government Order No.5833-43/M.F.580-21-8 dated 20th April 1922, I have the honor to state that the Swamigalu having failed either to execute the agreement or to send any further communication in the matter, a Vignapana Patrika, explaining fully the obligations of the Swamigalu in this respect has been issued to-day, a copy of which is herewith submitted for the information of Government. The Swamy's reply will be awaited and a further reference made to you in due course.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

The promised further report may be awaited.

D.N. 15.9.23.

ఈ విచారణలో ఎన్నికల పిటిషనర్ మరియు ప్రతివాది తరపున వారి వారి వకీళ్ళు వాదనలు వినిపించారు. ఇరువురి వాదనలు విన్న తరువాత ఈ కోర్టు ముందు ఉన్న ప్రశ్నలు ఏమిటంటే, ఎన్నికల పిటిషనర్ ఎన్నికల పిటిషన్ లో పేర్కొన్న ఆరోపణలు రుజువు చేయడంలో విఫలమయ్యారా? ఎన్నికల పిటిషన్ ను కొట్టివేయడానికి ఈ కోర్టు ముందు ఉన్న ఆధారాలు సరిపోతాయా? ఎన్నికల పిటిషన్ ను కొట్టివేయడానికి ఈ కోర్టు ముందు ఉన్న ఆధారాలు సరిపోవా?

Muz 4]

SUBJECT.. ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

(.) .

. *the* *192* .

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 518

Date of issue 8-10-23

To

The Muzrai Commr.

Signed by for D.C. Sr. Obarayappa Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. C. 511 Dy 11th Septr 1923 I am directed to request to be informed whether the agreement by the Swamigalu of Sri Vijayadurga Swami Matt about assuming the management

over.

matt properties, has since
been received, and if not,
when it is the enquiry reply
expected.

I have dte
3/10/23.
Supt Rec. Section.
3/10/23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

2,500—27-7-22

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE

C No. 511 of 22-23
Encl 3.

Bangalore,.....19th October 1923.....

FROM

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
& Muzrai
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
MUZRAI
D. 453

TO

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Department.
Revenue

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.518/Muz.31-23-4 dated 5th October 1923, enquiring whether the agreement by the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha about assuming the management of the Matha properties has been executed, I have the honour to forward herewith for perusal and kind return Srimukha No.124 dated 2nd October 1923 received from the Swamigalu stating that the affairs of the Matha are just now coming round and that the agreement will be executed and sent early. In pursuance of the above the document has not been received yet and the Swamigalu will be reminded again soon after the Dasara holidays if no further communication is received in the meanwhile.

2. In this connection, I submit herewith letter No.104 dated 1st October 1923 together with its one enclosure received from Mr. M. Srinivasa Murti, State Huzur Officer, intimating that he has been appointed Sarvadhikari of the Matha estates and that the agreement referred to in para 1 supra will be sent by about the 15th instant and requesting to be furnished with a set of Government orders bearing on all permanent arrangements made for the carrying on of the Matha affairs when the management was vested in Government.

3. On the express desire of the Swamigalu to constitute a

R. C. 4.

From

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
& Muzrai
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

Dated 19th October 1923.

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Re the agreement by the swamigalu of the Sri Vyasaraya-swami Matha about assuming the management of the Matha properties

---

Committee of a purely advisory nature, permission was given to the late Mr. T. Lakshminarasimha Rao, Mr. M. Srinivasa Murti and Mr. D. Srinivasachar to serve on the committee without prejudice to Government work. It is ascertained that no such committee has been formed and that the late Mr. T. Lakshminarasimha Rao was managing the Matha arraire under instructions of the Swamigalu, pending the formation of the Committee.

From the tenor of the Swamy's communication to Mr. M. Srinivasa Murti it is clearly seen that as Sarvadhikari, Mr. Srinivasa Murti's nature of work is not at all advisory but he has been appointed sole trustee of the Matha estates under a duly registered power of attorney with full powers of control over the finances of the Institution.

4. Mr. Srinivasa Murti has not yet retired from Government service and I am therefore of opinion that his active participation in the management of the Matha properties without the previous sanction of Government is objectionable and opposed to Standing Orders.

I have not communicated either to Mr. Srinivasa Murti or to the Swamigalu my approval to the appointment of Sarvadhikari pending orders of Government in the matter, which may kindly be passed early. The Swamigalu has been putting off signing the agreement for one cause or another and may perhaps have to be informed that the lands will have to be resumed to Government management again, if His Holiness does not do the needful within a time specified.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

OFFICE OF SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT,

In reply please refer to
No. 104
En. one

BASAVANGUDI POST,
Dated, Bangalore, 18th October 1928.

From

M. Srinivasa Murti, Esquire,
Sarvadhikari, Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt,
Bangalore.

To

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Inzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose herein a copy of the nirupatra granted by His Holiness the Swamijalavaru of Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, appointing me as the Sarvadhikari of the Matt estates, for your kind information.

A copy of the power of attorney to be granted by the said Swamijalavaru and the agreement to be executed by His Holiness in accordance with Government Order no. 5555-45/M.E. 550-21-8 dated the 20th April 1922 relating to the handing over of the Mutt estates to the Swamijalavaru will be forwarded to you in about a fortnight. As there was no Magistrate in Tirumakudlu Narsipur who could attest and certify to the execution of the two documents above noted, whereto I had gone to get them signed by His Holiness, this delay has been caused. I assure you that there will be no delay beyond the 15th of October 1928.

In the meanwhile, I beg of you to get me for submission to His Holiness the copies of Government Orders passed from time to time regarding all permanent arrangements made or sanctioned by

by Government in the management of the Matt properties and the alteration of which by the Swamigalavaru now requires the previous sanction of Government.

I have the honor to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

L. Srinivasaphal

for SARVADHIKARI.

(Ouhan Circuit)

26 —
23.7.23

"Copy"

"True Copy"

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4573

General and Revenue Secretariat

( ) ,,

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 10.11.23

Signed by Mr. T Ramaiya

Section [illegible]

File No. 21—22

Serial No

No. 605

To

The Murrai Comr.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. C. 511 of 22-23 dated the 20th Oct. 1923 regarding the affairs of the late Vyasaraya Srinivasa Mutt, I am directed to state that so long as Mr. M. Srinivasa Murti is in the service of Govt. he cannot be permitted to accept the appointment of Sarvadhikari or Chief manager of the Mutt [illegible]

over.

Cancelled

and to request that the Swaraj Govt may be informed accordingly.

2) With regard to the execution of the rec? agreement by the Swarajists about the assumption of the management of the Natal properties, I am to request that you will be so good as to report whether the agreement which was promised by Mr Srinivasa Iyengar to be sent by the 15th Oct. 1923, has since been rec? from him. You will also be requested that the Swarajist Govt may be informed that if the agreement is not duly executed by the end of November 1923 the Govt will have to consider the question of resuming & taking over under their management all the private lands and endowments of the Natal

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Please see

W/6 W

Sec's No 24/23

RG

6/11/23

606 Dy No 11 23

Copy of the above is forwarded, through the Comptroller & Asst, to Mr. M. Srinivasa Murthi with the request that he will submit his explanation for having taken up the Savandurg Railway of the matter without the sanction of Govt.

By order

R. Lee

Asst Sec to Govt

RG

6/11/23

WD 2230 –GPB—1,00,000—23-7 20

C No. 54 of 22-23
Encl 2

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 29th November 1923.

From



K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Muz.31-23-7.

K. V. Anantaraman Esquire., B.A.,

Offg.Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.605/31-23-6 dated 10th November 1923, regarding the execution of the agreement by the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami matha I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the agreement drafted by the Government Advocate together with a --- Kannada translation of the same prepared under the orders of the late Muzrai Superintendent (Mr. T. L. Jayarama Aiyar) and to state that the translation of para 6 varies from the original in as much as the --- latter makes no stipulation about the audit of accounts and its ---- acceptance afterwards. Papers transferred to this office do not -- throw any light as to the circumstances under which this condition was introduced into the Kannada Version of the agreement. As the --- Swamigalu is prepared to execute the document in Kannada, I request to be informed whether that portion relative to the audit of matha accounts may be allowed to stand and whether the agreement in its -- Kannada form may be got executed.

The favour of an early reply is requested.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

In reply please refer to No. 734 Es

2373

Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

Dated the 20th December 1923.

Misc. 31-23-8.

To

Mr. K.V.Anantharaman, Esq., B.A.,
Offg: Revenue Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

REVENUE SECRETARIAT 21 DEC 1923

749

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the explanation furnished by Mr. A. Sreenivasa Murthy, Officer in charge, State Huzur Treasury on leave in the matter of accepting the Sarvadhikarship of the Vyasaraya Matt and to state that the work is to be done out of office hours and without prejudice to the legitimate duties of the office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T. Satyanarayana Rao
Comptroller.

LSR/20-12 20/12.

vide A

Bangalore,
22-11-1923.

From

M. Srinivasa Murti, Esquire,
Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, (on leave)
B a n g a l o r e .

To

T. Satyaraja Chetty, Esquire, B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your office Memo No. E.S. 581 dated the 14th November 1923 forwarding Government communication memorandum No. 606 dated the 10th November 1923, calling for my explanation for having taken the Sarvadhikarishp of the Mutt without the sanction of the Government, I have the honor to state that Government in their Order No. 6425-3/ Muz. 603-21-7 dated the 1st May 1922 were pleased to permit me along with two other Government Officers to serve on an Advisory Committee in order to assist the Swamigalavaru in the management of the Mutt affairs.

affairs.

2. On the death of Mr. T. Lakshmi Narasimha Rao, Sarvadhikari and Vice President of the Advisory Committee who was one of the three of is permitted by Government to serve on the Committee, his duties were transferred to me by His Holiness the Swamigalavaru of the Mutt whose desciple I am and the Ravasa of the Swami in this connection I have already communicated to Government through the Muzrai Commissioner, with my No. dated I did not expect any objection from the Government and I did not therefore seek sanction.

3. As Sarvadhikari, I do not get any remuneration or perquisite from the Mutt. I am not required to be absent from my official duty to the prejudice of my duties under Government. For the executive work there is a whole time Officer lent by Government. He is assisted by Sub Agents and has a clerical staff to do the office work. My work is mostly one of supervision to see that everything goes on all right. The Government are also interested in seeing that Mutt affairs are properly conducted and the duty which as my religious Guru, the Swami of the Mutt has asked me do for him is neither prejudicial to my duties under Government nor takes away the time required for those duties. Further it is rather in the interests of the Government self that the supervision of the Mutt affairs in which

which Government is interested is undertaken by one who is also a Government servant. I wish also to submit that I have been on leave and I shall have about six months time to complete my fifty five years after I return to duty from leave. As I had been permitted by Government to serve on the Committee and since I have been on leave I thought I might obey the orders of the Swamigalu whose descipie I am. I beg that the formal omission on my part to ask for sanction of Government, which I may assure Government is entirely unintentional, may be kindly overlooked.

4. I beg that permission may now be graciously accorded to me to undertake the duties which the Swami as my religious Guru has laid on me.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Sreeramulu

State Huzur Treasury Officer
(on leave)

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 749.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue.....31.1.24.....

Signed by.....Sm. T. Ramanujam.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

30/1/24

Section

File No.

Serial No. 9.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter no. 734/24 dated the 20th Dec. 23 from the Comptroller & Fr. transmitting the explanation furnished by Mr. Srinivasa Murthi Officer in charge State Hydro Department, on leave in the matter of accepting the Sovereignship of the Sri Nyasaga Swami Math, and stating that the work is to be done only in office hours, and without prejudice ~~Order Thereon~~ to the legitimate duties of the said Officer.

Order—

In the circumstances explained by Mr. Srinivasa Murthi

are pleased to permit him to accept the
~~title~~ place of Sanskrit Reader in the
Malt in question

18/1/24
Secretary
16.1.24

25/1

To

The Comptroller & Gen.l
" Accr. Genl in Rangoon

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744 -GPB—30 000—17-8-19

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 26.2.24.

Signed by Mr. T. Ramiah.

Section Mang.

File No. 31-23

Serial No. 10.

No. 1129/Genls. &c.

To The Mangrai Court
in Negapatam

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C-119 of 30th January 1924 regarding the agreement to be executed by the Komiquelar of the Sri Vyasaraja Swami Mutt, I am directed to state

9/23-24

over.

that as the Malt properties have already been handed over to the Swamidales, Govt are not prepared to allow the Execution of the necessary Agreement to be delayed any longer. I am further to state that while it is open for the Swamigales to have the accounts of the Malt Godowns Examined by auditors whom they may appoint for the purpose and to represent to Govt any irregularity or omission upon which they may observe in the course of the Examination of the accounts, the audit of Malt accounts should not be made a condition precedent to the Execution of the Agreement, particularly as the Govt have deputed an officer of their Malt Agency to serve as Secretary to the Agency, who will help them in their accounts.

2. I am therefore to request that you will be so

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

So good as to instruct the Sawmill Rep of the matter to have an agreement duly executed by the Swamigalu in terms of the draft sent up by the Govt Advocate. It may be in English or Kannada as desired by the Swamigalu.

x x

2. In case the agreement is not so executed and received from the Swamigalu by the End of March 1924, Govt will be constrained to adopt the course indicated in para 2 of this Office letter No 6057 Misc: 31-23-6 dated the 10th Nov 1923.

Paras 2 & 3 are Sub

x y

3. A further report in matter is requested in the first week of April 1924.

Please do

The drafts of the agreement in Enclosure 2 Kannada sent with Govt letter No 5611 dated 31.12.23 are herewith returned.



32915

C 119 of 24-4

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysor

Bangalore, Dated 17th April 1924.

Muz. 31-23-11.

From

K. Chandy, Esq, B.A.

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT
21 APR 1924
MUZRAI

To

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq, B.A.

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Dno. 2090.

20 APR 1924
C.D. SECTION

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1129 dated 26th February 1924 regarding the execution of the agreement by the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha, I have the honour to state that the orders of Government were communicated to the Sarvadhikari on 28th February 1924 and he was requested to transmit the agreement with the least avoidable delay. No reply having been received, an urgent letter has again been written on the 16th instant requesting immediate compliance. On receipt of the Sarvadhikari's reply, a further communication will be submitted to you.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

M.A.N.

The [illegible] Const. promised [illegible] awaited.

22/4/24

A letter from the Sarvadhikari was read. Where is it?

Since the letter Rec'd from Dr S. Murti is produced. 26/4/24

Mr Lemaire

30/4/24 2nd member

30/4/24

1/5/24

Confidential.

No. C 119 of 23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Dno

Dated Pangalore, 17th J u n e 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

20 JUN 1924 MUZRAI

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Dno. 2348
Muz. 11-23-12.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.119 of 23-24 dated 19th April 1924, regarding the execution of the agreement by the --- Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami matha, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.727 dated 6th May 1924 from the Sarvadhikari stating that the matter would be attended to early and to submit for the information of Government that no further communication has been received by me though it is now nearly 1½ months since the Sarvadhikari promised to take early action in the matter. The Sarvadhikari has -- not also complied with my requisition (vide copy of letter dated 28th February enclosed) to depute an official of the agency office to prepare with the aid of the officials of this office a complete list giving -- details of values and incomes of properties already handed over, as -- contemplated in para 7 of the Government Order of 20th April 1922.

From the way in which the matter is progressing, it appears to -- me that the Matha authorities are taking undue advantage of Government's generous act in handing over the properties before the document was -- formally executed by the Swamigalu and that action of a decided nature is necessary to enliven the Matha authorities, to their sense of ----- responsibility in the matter.

2. I beg therefore to suggest that the Secretary to Government in the Legislative Department or the Government Advocate might perhaps be consulted as to the lines on which further action has to be taken and the same communicated to me at an early date.

3. In this connection, I may be permitted to bring to the notice of the Government that the order of restoration of the management of the Matha properties situated outside Mysore and the cessation of the Mysore Government agency have not been communicated to the Revenue - Board of Madras (through the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore) for -- intimation to the Collectors of Districts concerned, as was done -- while the management was taken by Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asst Comr

Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from Headquarters)

KSR.

P&K

Letter No.727 dated 6th May 1924 from the Sarvadikari, Sri ---
Vyasarayaswamy Matt to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Adverting to your letter No.C.119/23-4 dated 28th February 1924 regarding the execution of an agreement by His Holiness, the Swamigalavaru of Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, I have the honor to inform you that I went to Bosalai with the very purpose of completing the document, but unfortunately I fell ill and for want of medical aid, I had to come back without ----- accomplishing my work. Since then, I have been slowly recovering from the effects of the fever I was subject to.

Further, the sudden outburst of the sensational case by the Kunapur Matt has created much anxity to His Holiness the Swamigalavaru. I submit that this matter will also be attended to very early."

"Copy"

for Muzrai Commissioner. 15/6/24

KSR.

Letter No.C.119 of 23-24 dated 26th February 1924 from Commissioner in Mysore to the Sarvadhikari, Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha,

---

"Referring to para 2 of this office letter C.No.811/22-26 dated 17th November 1923, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.1122 dated 26th February 1924 from the Revenue Secretary to Government stating that Government are not prepared to allow the execution of the agreement -- to be delayed any longer that it is open for the Swamiralu to have the --- accounts of the matha examined by any body whom he may appoint for the --- purpose and to request you to have the agreement duly executed by the --- Swamiralu in terms of the draft sent up by the Government Advocate, either in English or Kannada as desired by the Swamiralu and to forward the same for transmission to Government with the least avoidable delay. If the document is executed in Kannada, the portion relating to audit in para 6 of the draft should, it may be kindly remembered, be omitted.

2. As regards the value of stamp paper on which the agreement has to be executed, I enclose for your reference an extract from the Government Advocate's letter dated 20th April 1922 furnishing full information on the point.

3. If in the meanwhile one of the officials of the agency office is deputed to this office temporarily, all possible facilities to prepare a complete list giving details of values and incomes of properties handed over will be given or in the alternative such a list may kindly be arranged to be prepared in your office and sent to me for approval before being embodied in the Agreement."

"Copy"

18/6/24

KSR. for Muzrai Commissioner.

2.

Mwz. 31-2-

To

The Muzrai Commissioner,

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C.119 of 23-24 dated 17th June 1924 regarding the execution of the agreement by the Swamigalu of the Vyasarayaswami Matt, I am directed to state that Mr. M. Sreenivasa Murty, Sarwadhikari of the Matt, had an interview with the Second Member of Council in the matter to-day, and ~~It has been~~ represented that an agreement has been obtained from His Holiness the Swamigalu recently and that it would be presented to you and the matter settled before the end of this month.

2. I am to request you to be so good as to ~~receive~~ make the agreement from Mr. S. Murty ~~in the form in which it may be presented to you~~ and forward the same to Government ~~together with~~ a final report of the final settlement of the matter by the 15th of September 1924.

I have etc.,

18 8/24.

Revenue Secretary.

18/8/24

CONFIDENTIAL.

No. C.119 of 23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Sir Thrue

Dated Bangalore, 20th October 1924.
2302

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., P.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Pantalu c.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.119 of 23-24 dated 13th October 1924 regarding the execution of the agreement by the --- Swamigalu of the Sri Sosale Vyasarayaswami Matha, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.191 dated 13th October 1924 together with its accompaniments received from the Sarvadhikari of the Matha and to state as follows.

2. While entering into a lengthy discourse into the scope and -- purpose of the agreement drafted by the Government Advocate and approved by Government, the Sarvadhikari has thought it sufficient to submit a Srimukha dated 27th February 1924 received from the Swamigalu together with a complete list giving details of values and incomes of properties taken possession of by the Swamigalu under the Government Order No.5033-43/M.F.580-21-8 dated 20th April 1923. The statements of properties - have not been ~~not~~ signed by the Swamigalu himself and only one anna --- receipt stamps have been affixed to them. The Srimukha relates only to the assumption of the management of the properties and to the ----- indemnification of Government officers, but the terms have not been -- written on stamp paper of requisite value and registered in conformity with the Government Advocate's opinion (vide copy of letter No.493 dated 20th April 1923 enclosed for reference).

3. Moreover, the Srimukha does not make mention of the two important points, viz.,

(i) that the management of the properties is assumed with all --

claims and liabilities appertaining thereto as they stood at the -- time of transfer and that the Swamigalu will have no claim against Government.

& (ii) that he will not alter any permanent arrangements for the -- carrying on of the Matha affairs as laid down in the several orders of Government without obtaining the previous sanction of Government subject to which conditions alone the management was restored to the Swamigalu.

As regards (i) the Sarvadhikari states that a statement of --- accounts showing the receipt and expenditure of the Matha during the period of the Government management may be furnished to the Swamigalu and that necessary facilities may also be afforded for checking the accounts and for bringing to the notice of Government any irregularities which may be found in the course of such an examination.

From the commencement this point has been argued and on --- representations made by the late Mr. T. Lakshminarasimha Rao for the matha , Government finally ordered that the previous audit of matha accounts should not be made a condition precedent to the execution of the agreement. (vide your letter No.1129 dated 26th February 1924) This decision of Government was communicated to the Sarvadhikari in this office letter dated 28th February 1924 and it is really strange to see that the Swamigalu and his Sarvadhikari should persist in not complying with the Government direction.

As regards (ii) the Sarvadhikari states that a compilation of all Government orders pertaining to the management of the Matha properties is very essential to regulate the expenditure in keeping -- with its dignity and that a collection of orders referred to in para 6 of the order of the 20th April 1922 may be furnished to him.

(c)

4. As the compilation of all orders is a work involving much - labour and time and as no official of this office could be put on this work exclusively owing to heaviness and pressure of office work proper, the Sarvadhikari was requested in this office letter of the 28th February 1923 to depute an official of the agency office to - make the necessary compilations, but no reply has yet been received in the matter.

5. In the circumstances explained above, I submit the papers for the orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

MUKHRAI COMMISSIONER.

Letter No.191 dated 14th October 1934 from the Sarvadhikari, Sri Vyasaravaswami Rathna to the Mysore Commissioner in Delhi.

"I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your confidential No.C.119 of 26-34 dated the 26rd August 1934 desiring me to obtain the execution either in English or in Kannada by the Swamigalavaru of the agreement approved by the Government Advocate.

Two copies of the agreement one in English and the other in Kannada purporting to be the translation of the English copy were forwarded to the Swamigalavaru from your office and your office finding a slight difference between them, approached the Government for orders and have communicated to me, for the information of the Swamigalavaru, the decision of Government to get the agreement executed in terms of the English copy, as the Kannada copy is a conditional one.

I submit that, whether the agreement is in accordance with the English or the Kannada version, taking the substance or import of the agreement on the whole and viewing it with the terms of the power of attorney given to the successive Dewans, by the successive Swamigalavaru it appears to me that an agreement, as prepared by the Government Advocate is only a form of receipt technically expressed. The execution of the document is purely a formal matter and the most important facts viz., the indemnifying of Government servants for their bonafide actions in the interests of the Mutt is borne out by the power of attorney from the Swamigalavaru What is required from the Swamigalavaru is, I venture to submit, only a receipt duly stamped, for having assumed possession of the landed properties in the Mysore State and in the British Territories and elsewhere which had been under the management of the Government of Mysore under a covenant duly registered. I can see the necessity for such a receipt, as the current power of attorney given to the Dewan for a period of 15 years from December 1919 and the properties have had to be handed over prior to the expiry of this period.

In accordance with the Government Order No.6866-46/Mus.-60-34-8 dated the 20th April 1922, the Swamigalavaru has assumed the management of all the Mutt properties with all claims and the liabilities appertaining thereto as they stood at the time of transfer and the stamped receipt from His Holiness with a complete list giving details of the value of and incomes from, properties handed over by Government and taken charge of by His Holiness, seems to be sufficient for purposes of Government

2. In view of the present values of these properties which have far exceeded their original values and the annual expenditure incurred during this period and also of the careful way in which the Mutt's finances were administered by Government, an impression has been created in the minds of the disciples that there is a large surplus of Mutt's revenues in the hands of Government. The management of the Mutt having been entrusted to Government for about 18 years, the Mutt authorities seem it necessary to get a statement of accounts showing the receipt and expenditure of the Mutt during the period of the Government management and I may be permitted to submit that this is by no means an unreasonable demand and as the Managers of the Mutt funds must necessarily have maintained such an --- account there can be no difficulty in rendering it to the Mutt. In the interests of both the Principal and the Agent and for the satisfaction of the disciples of the Mutt, such an account may kindly be ordered to be furnished to the Swamigalavaru as early as possible. I further beg that facilities may also be kindly afforded to the Sarvadhikari for checking the account and for bringing to the notice of Government an irregularities which he may, perchance, find in the course of such an examination.

3. In the interests of the Mutt and for the maintenance of its efficient management, and in order to safe-guard the wholesome arrangement made by Government, when the manage ent was in their hands, it is no doubt necessary to require that no permanent arrangement for the carrying out of the Mutt affairs as laid down in the several orders of Government should be altered without obtaining the previous sanction of Government. This being so, it is necessary also to know precisely what those arrangements of a permanent nature are, in order to enable the Swamigalu to maintain the financial -- equillibrium of the Mutt. The resources are limited, and the prices of articles and the cost of establishment have a tendency to steadily rise. The maintenances of the several institutions under the Mutt in several -- places and very many other unforseen items of expenditure, do require that the Mutt's expenditure should be regulated in accordance with the times. The Revenues have attained its normal height and the future seasonal --- conditions do not seem to be bright. For these reasons, a compilation of all such Government orders is very essential to regulate the expenditure of the Mutt in keeping with its dignity, and to move Government for a reconsideration of such of the orders as may require their reconsideration. I

I have the honor to submit, under His Holiness's orders the Proceedings dated*27-7-1934 and a complete list giving detail of values of the income of properties taken possession of by His Holiness duly stamped under the Government order No.5333-43/Muz.560-21-3 dated the 20th April 1932 for record. I request that a statement showing the Mutt's financial position as it stood on the date of the restoration of the Mutt's properties to its management and a compilation of Government orders referred to in para 6 of Government order No.5333-43/Muz.560-21-3 dated the 20th April 1932 may be ordered to be furnished to me at an early date. (C)

*Raktaksni "Asnadhu Kannada Akshara."

While tendering his sincere thanks for the efficient management of the Mutt's properties by the Government of His Highness the Maharaja, the Swamigalu hopes that every facility will be graciously afforded to the Mutt in the management of its affairs and ~~xxxx~~ always prays to God Sri Gopalakrishnaswami for the long life and prosperity of His Highness and of all the Members of the Royal House of Mysore and His Highness' Government Officers and subjects."

"C o p y"

21/10/34

7) Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.492 dated 30th April 1932 from the Government Advocate in Mysore to the Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore)

"With reference to your letter No.5500-1 dated 11th [illegible] I have the honor to enclose herein, a deed of transfer of the [illegible] properties to the Swamigalu, as desired by you. As I found that the draft sent by you required some alterations, I prepared a fresh draft [illegible]

The value of the stamp on which the document will have to be engrossed is Rupees Five, under clause (d) of article 6(, of schedule of the Mysore Stamp Regulation, which corresponds to article 34 (c) of the British Indian Stamp Act. As the properties are situated both in Mysore and British India, it would, perhaps, be better to have two separate documents, one on Mysore Stamp paper and one on British Indian Stamp Paper and to have both the documents registered before the [illegible] respective Sub Registrars having jurisdiction."

I hope you will find the draft in order."

"Copy"

[signature]

for Muzrai Commissioner. 21/10/32

ank

5.	Madhugiri	Kasaba Hobli	.D. No. [illegible] 1 [illegible] Rs. 10/- .D. No. [illegible], [illegible], [illegible] Rs. 11/- Rs. 1/- 1 [illegible], 7 [illegible], [illegible]	10/- 1/-

MYSORE DISTRICT.

1.	T. Narasipur	Bannur	.D.	Area	Assessment	40/7/7
			210	0-30	5-10-0	
			211	0-03	4- 0-0	
			407	1-33	14- 0-0	
			499	1-05	13- 0-0	
			500	0- 5	1- 0-0	

2. HASSAN DISTRICT.

1.	Belur	Yalavenko	[illegible]	1041-4-7
	-do-	Pattihalli	-do-	33-11-0

CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

1.	Davangere	Dyamavanhalli	Dry [illegible]	500/-
2.	Challakere	Chipparedihalli	[illegible]	1,177-11-11
3.	Molakalmuru	Obalapura	Hobli village	200/-
4.	-do-	Bommanhalla	[illegible]	200/-

SIRSGA DISTRICT.

1.	Thirthahalli	Bhandya (majaro Kalejani el)	Panchayat Kavalay and Prathmalaya.			
			Extent.	Assessment.	Kist	
			203- 7	513-0-0	513/-	413-13-5
2.	-do-	Vanduvalli	203-33	500-3-0	257/13	45- 0-0
3.	-do-	Kavalagere	1-11	8-1-0		
4.	-do-	Kabinahi	Katt building Panchayatdi No. 1, tiled house			...
5.	-do-	Thirthahalli	Katt building Kavalagere No. 484, tiled house.			...
6.	-do-	-do-	Vacant site Panchayati - No. 395,			...

Chandrika
Basavangudi-Bangalore

L. Srinivasa Rao
Agent-Sri V. Gopalaraya Mallu

s, in the Mysore State, under the Income-tax Act:-

Income.	Approximate value of the fee.	
annum.	About	1,000/-.
	"	500/-
	"	300/-
	"	200/-
	"	150/-
	"	100/-
12/10	"	10000/-
14/1	"	5000/-
/-	"	200/-
/-	"	2500/-
/-	"	1500/-
10	"	750/-
	"	500/-

1[illegible]/-

2[illegible]/-

1,0[illegible]/-

15,[illegible]/-

[illegible],[illegible]/-

1[illegible],[illegible]/-

20,000/-

3,000/-

2,500/-

[illegible]

10,000/-

5,000/-

[illegible]/-

[illegible],[illegible]/-

400/-.

Mr. [illegible]

Sarvāḍhikāri Śri Vijayaraja
Sirami Taḷu. [illegible]
15. 10 21.

Office of Sri Vyasaraya ...
Basavanagudi
Bangalore

Statement showing the Value and income of Properties
of Sri Vyasarayaswamy Matt.
Outside Mysore State.

Taluk	Name of Village	Description	Average annual Income			Approximate Value of the Inam	Remarks.	
— Anantapur District —								
Gooty	Englibanda. Inam	Whole Village —	348	2	10	6000	876 Acres. — 27 Cents.	Quit rent and Jodi Rs: 50 — 0 — 0
	Rajapuram	Sivottrami Inam —	108	14	"	1500	778 acres —	— do — Rs: 87 — 3 — 0
Madaksira	Mallenayakanahalli —		100	"	"	1½00	1246 acres.	— do — Rs: 30 — 0 — 0
Gooty.	Gooty Inam Lands	Wet Land	60	"	"	1000	8 acres.	Free
	Yerathirinnarajucheruvu.	Wet	6	"	"	150	4 acres — 65 Cents	— do — Rs: 1 — 2 — 8
	Pathakaltracheruvu —	Wet Land	3	"	"	150	54 Cents	Free
	Venkatampalli	Dry Land	6	"	"	200	10 acres — 9 Cents —	Assessment Rs: 4 — 10 — 0
	Turukapalli	Dry. Inam	11	"	"	300	7 acres — 83 Cents	Jodi 0 — 12 — 10
	Obacherla.	Dry	3	"	"	100	17 acres — 8 Cents	
	Ootakallu.	Dry Inam.	3	4	"	100	13 acres — 66 Cents —	Jodi 0 — 10 — 0
	Gooty Anantapur.	~~Wet~~ Dry	10	"	"	200	19 acres — 58 Cents —	Jodi 0 — 9 — 10
	Kongavampalli	Dry	10	"	"	250	22 acres — 5 Cents.	Jodi 0 12 — 3
	Kasapura	Inam	8	"	"	200	6 acres — 95 Cents —	Cess. 0 — 6 — 6
Madakasira	Nidraghatta	Inam Wet					60 Cents	
Penukonda.	Penukonda Inam Lands.						14 acres — 27 Cents —	
— " —	Tirumaladevarapalli	Inam Wet —					88 Cents —	
	Peddapalli	Inam Wet —					2 acres — 99 Cents —	
	Kappalabanda.	Inam Dry					21 acres — 30 Cents —	
	Kamasamudram	Inam Wet						

	Kamasetti palli	Inam wet				07 cents	
— Bellary District —							
Hospet	Kakkabal	Shrotriem.	1777	" 8	10,000	2929 acres — 41 cents	Quit rent & Jodi Rs: 492 — 0 — 0
Koodligi	Chennoor	Wet Inam	12	" "	250	1 acre — 90 cents	— do — Rs: 3 — 14 — 0
— Kurnool Dist. —							
Patti Konda	Maddikere	Dry Inam.	15	" "	500	22 acres — 92 cents	Rent free Inam.
— " —	Kadamanakunta	Dry	3	4 "	150	11 acres — 30 cents	Jodi — 0 — 12 — 0 — do —

Taluk	Name of Village	Description	Average annual Income.	Estimated Value of the Inam	Remarks.
— Kadapa District —					
Madanapalli	Kandakur and Pattamvandla Palla	Wet & Dry —	1840' " "	30,000	1365 acres — 5 Cents.
— " —	Kurubalakota	Inam Wet			2 acres — 28 Cents —
— " —	Mudivada	Inam Wet & Dry			2 acres — 19 Cents —
— Chittoor Dist: —					
Chandragiri	Chittavarapalli	~~Whole Village~~	5 " "	100	44 acres — 01 Cents —
Pattikonda.	Sangeethakottapam	½ the Village Inam	100 " "	3000	257 acres — 22 Cents —
Chandragiri —	Ramapuram	Inam Wet	"	100	2 Acres — 5, Cents —
— Madura Dist. —					

Jodi — Cess. Rs: 9-14-5.
~~Quit rent Rs: 5-0-0~~
+ 2-6-3 assessment "
— do — Rs: 57-4-9

Madura Dist

Nelakkotta	Aiyyur & Errambatty Inam Villages —		1600 " " 25,000	Iyyur 557 acres — 11 Cents Errambatty 632 — — 3 —	Sudan 1st — Rs. 500 — 0 — 0
Madura	Arappalliam Mill site & Flower garden.		400 " " 100000	25. 8 Cents —	
do	Vyasapura garden.				
Dindukal	Narasingapuram	Inam			

Tinnevelly Dist:

Sri Vaikuntam	Stone Shi				
Ambasamudram	Vagaikkalam —	Inam	14000 " " 49000	530 acres — 33 cents —	Jodi Rs 1981-3-8
Sri Vaikuntam	Keelaputhaneri				+ Forest ? Jodi Rs 7 Forest Rent -
do	— Merapparnad - - - ?			1 acre — 72 Cents —	
do	— Kovilpatta ?	Wet Inam	— 80 " " 1	72 Cents —	Rs 12 11 — 8
Tinnevelly	Sundrapoondurai	Wet Inam	192 " " 3600	1 acre — 82 Cents —	Jodi Rs 1-14-0
Sri Vaikuntam	Parakramapandi	Inam		8 acres — 65 Cents —	
do	— Tiruppalkangudi			1 acre — 43 Cents —	
do	Kasba Sri Vaikuntam		225 " 1111	3 acres — 6 Cents —	Rs " " 19 —
Tinnevelly	— C' Kya Narasingapuram			13 acres — 1 Cents	

Chingleput Dist:

Ponneri	Chinnapoondi Agraharam		200 " " 2000	49 Acres — 50 Cents —	
Conjeevaram	Ville Valam		3. " " 500	1 acre — 44 Cents	
Tiruvallur	Tirumalai	Wet Inam		1 Acre — 86 Cents —	arrears rent Rs: 2 — —
Ponneri	Catchment of Ponneri Tank				

North Areot Dist.

Tiruvannamalai	Valavatty Inam Village	Shrotr " "	1800 "	1,538 Acres — 22 Cents —	Enclosure Rent Rs: 460 — — —

Trichinopoly Dist.

Kulitthalai	Chintalvadi Swasthyam Lands		16,90 Sacres — 1600 " " 26,000	Almt 40 acres - Wet + Samudayam — Dry Lands —	Enclosure Rent 864 — 12
Musiri	Murunga Kolathur	Wet —		23 acres — 77 Cents —	Rs: 32, — — — Vedu Cowtalam Lands —

200

Udayarpalliam	Periathirukurchi Lands	2/Shares - 43		200	23 Kanies:	
Lalgudi	Niranjangudi Wet Lands.	Inam Wet -		—	16 Acres - 74 Cents -	Sitkan N° 1 Rs: 189 - 12 - 8
Trichinopoly.	Angarai — do —		675 - -	15000	1 Acre - 97 Cents -	— do — Rs: 19 - 9 - 5
	Sandhya Mantapam.					
	Sri Rangam Wet Lands.	Wet Inam —	1500 - -	20,000	25 acres - 41 Cents -	
Karur -	Pangambadi —				8 Acres - 84 Cents	
Trichinopoly -	Sri Rangam Topes & Bandavanams —					
	Aravakurchi Lands -				7 acres - 64 Cents	
— Nellore Dist. —						
Kandukur	Bheemavaram —		13 12 -	300	2 acres - 83 Cents.	
Nellore	Kovoor		100 - -	1000	5 ac - -	for the Hall Temple at Nellore Kandukur.
— — Tanjore Dist. —						
Kumbakonam	Kumbakonam Mat & gardens.		235 - -	1 . .	4 - - do -	

Coimbatoor Dist:

Dharapuram.	Dharapuram lands	Inam wet	110	- - 2000	2 acres — 1 Cent —
— do —	Dalavaipatnam lands	— do —	100	- - 2000	2 acres — 7 Cents —
Bhavani	Amichukatta	Dry			12 acres — 87 Cents —
"	Varda Nellore	do —			470 acres — 57 Cents —
	Peria Puliyur				
Erode	Kanjikkovil	Dry			02 acres — 46 Cents —
	Nicchampalliam	do			650 acres — 13 Cents —
	Mullampatti	do			1½ acres — 62 Cents —
	Karukkupaliam	do			.0 acres — 18 Cents —
	Pethampalliam	do			4 acres — 36 Cents —
	Kampuliampatti				5 acres — 91 Cents —
	Mettupuliur				
	Pijari Palathottam	do			1 0 acres — 61 Cents —
	Koothampalliam				
	Eengoor	do			24 acres — 92 Cents —

Sarkar Inam — Rs: 3-0-6

Rs. 1—0—1

5 acres — 71 Cents —

12 acres — 86 Cents —

	Gangapuram			5 ans — 41 Cents —	
	Vyrapalliam	Wet —		12 ans — 86 cents —	
	Puliam Medu			11 — " — 13 — "	
	Vendapalliam			3 — " — 59 — "	
	Sirkar Perá Agra-			16 — " — 42 — "	
	— haram				
Gopechettipalliam —	Singelaru			2 ans —	
Dharapuram —	Pengalur			9 ans — 55 Cents —	
Erode —	Periapalliam		1600 — — 30,000	36 ans — 9 cents	

Salem Dist:

Salem	Kondlampatti Inam Village	Inam Whole Village	975 — — 20,000	204 ans — 44 Cents —	Sirkar Wet — Rs 299 — 12 — 8 · 4 Zamindar 76 — 1 — 0 — ans —
Attur	Timmanaikkanpatti	—	1229 — — 25,000	818 [illegible]	Sirkar Wet. Rs — [illegible]
	Kumarapalliam —				
Trichinopoly	Agraharam —			24 ans — 1 Cents —	Sir Cumbum Cents —
Namakal	Tirumalai Samudram	Wet —		2 ans — [illegible]	

Salem district (Continued).

Namakal	Konur	dry	10 acres —	Sur Coimbatore Lands —
do	Velur	Wet	2 acres — 47 Cents	
do	Karupattipalliam		dry garden — 6 — 45 Wet — 5 — 37 0 — 97.	
Dharampuri —	Arikullanoor		2 acres — 77 Cents —	
"	Chickosepalli		3 acres — 60 Cents —	— — — do — — do — —
"	Kathaikandahalli		53 acres — 86 1/4 Cents —	
Trichengodu —	Chinna Kavandoor			

Sic Domenum
Kandroog
Ane male

Nizam's Dominions

	Raidworg Anegondi Prosperties	Inam Wet	60	-	-	1600	5 ans — 10 Cents	

Rammad Dist

Srivelli's culture	Sundarapandrapuram							

Buildings

Madras	Triplicane Matt	Building	250	-	-	25000		Municipal Tax Rs:62—0—.
Nellore	Nellore Matt	do						
Salem	Salem Matt	do	60	-	-	10,000		
Chandragiri	Tirupathi Matt etc:	Site	21	-	-	25000	Building —	Rs:2-8-0 Municipal Tax.
South Canara	Udipi Matt	Building do	100	-	-	20,000	—"—	
Conjeveram	Kanchi Matt & garden		72	-	-	7000		


Buildings (Continued)
Kollegal
Kollegal Matt
Buildings
Buildings to be taken over
Coimbatore
Combatore Matt
Building
Bhavani
Bhavani Matt
Erode
Sankri Matt

Dr. Srinivasaiyal

Agent- Sri Vyasaraja
Swami mad.

~~Bhaskarpet~~ - ~~Bangalore~~
Bangalore

M. Sreenivasaiyal

Sarvadhikari Sri Vyasaraja
Swami matt.
15. 10. 22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section

File No

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 604

Date of issue 30-10-24

Signed by Mr Y. Ramaiya

To The Muz. Commr

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C. 119 Dy 23-10. Submitting for orders of Govt the letter from the Sarvadhikari of Sri Vasavaya Swamy Math in the matter of the execution of the agreement by the Swamigal's cover.

directed to request
that you will be so kind
as to send for reference
a Srimukha from
the Tahsildar of
Raktakshi Ashadha
Bahula Ekadasi Yuva.
to in para 2 of your
letter under acknowledgement.

I have etc.

Dy Rev. Secretary.

25/10/54

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

No. C.119 of 23-24. Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Encl.

Dated Bangalore, 8th NOVEMBER 1924.

From

K. CHANDY, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

GEN. & REV SECTT
10 NOV 1924
MUZRAI

7

To

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

As desired in your letter No.604/M.F.31-23-15 dated 30th October 1924, I have the honor to forward herewith in original ---- Srimukha dated 27th July 1924 (received from the Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matha and referred to in para 2 of this office letter of the 23rd October

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.

KSR.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

Section Muz

File No. 81-

Serial No.

No. 1185

Date of issue 5-2-25

Signed by Illegible

To The Manager [illegible]

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your No. C.194/23-24 regarding the application to be received from the Secretary [illegible] Sale of the Mirasi Service Inam [illegible] on the Record [illegible] Survey, &c.

I beg to state that [illegible]

[illegible]

over.

dated the 27th June 24

(copy of the letter received)

~~I state that I am prepared~~

~~to grant such a~~

"That a regular released deed may be executed on a duly stamped paper by the Swamigals, ~~I am~~

~~willing necessary deed to~~

~~request that one may be~~

~~prepared~~

~~obtained from the Swamigals~~

in the form prescribed by the Govt Advocate & submit to Govt as early as possible.

(2) As regards the Sarvadhikari's request ~~that~~ to be furnished with a Statt of receipts & pay for the period that the Inam properties were under Govt management, he may be informed that Govt will be glad to give a Statt showing the receipts & disbursements during the above period;

he does not actually exempt as such done by the Govt but the properties were under management has only says that he prepared to arrange accordingly such as the letter cannot therefore be treated as a release deed accepted as such I am therefore hopeful

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7 22

Muz. 6]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

but that if he wants for the particulars he should do it his own arrangement & collect them from the office Registers that would be made available in this for the purpose, as the Govt cannot undertake the work themselves as it will be laborious, requiring the entertainment of special hands for the purpose for some time. ~~It was for this~~ ~~it may be stated that~~ It was mentioned in the office letter No. 1126 dated 31-12-29 that the Tavanidars should make an audit of the accts a condition precedent to their taking possession of the properties; but now that we have agreed as this is to the assumption possession

WD 0565—GPB—2000—28 7-22

without reference to the audit,
Govt see no objection to
his being allowed to examine
the accounts in form 1 here
as requested by the Sanasadin Rani
with a view to bring irregularities &c
thereon if any to the notice of
Govt.

(3) In regard to the Sanasadin Rani
request to be furnished
with copies of Govt orders
which he is required to abide
by & not allowed to go against
without the previous sanction
of Govt, Govt consider that ~~he will not be in a position to know what orders he should abide by & hence~~ in the absence of those orders it is only proper that a list of those orders shd be furnished to the Sanasadin Rani as requested by him. I am accordingly to request that a copy of such of the Govt orders regarding the Sri Vyasaraja Swami matt

G. R. S. 6/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

As are required, ~~the~~ the
same should be by the
Land Settlement Officer may
be kindly furnished to
him ~~with a list thereof~~
~~for his use.~~

a report of the
action taken in the
matter may also be
forwarded for information

Thank you

10/30 IVS.

2/2

WD 9830—GPB—1 80,000—23 7 30